



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEFARTMENT OF COMMERCE Utilisd States Patient and Tynderstrik Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dec 1609 Alexandria, Vaguna 2213-1469

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FERST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/070,782	03/12/2002	Takafumi Hashimoto	1064-02	9611
35811	7990 01/29/2004		EXAMI	NER
IP DEPARTMENT OF PIPER RUDNICK LLP			KUMAR, PREETI	
	OGAN SQUARE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
18TH AND ARCH STREETS			ANT ONLY	PAPER HUMIER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			175)	

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- Pthe - PNC - Fail. - Anv	nsions of time may be available under the provin- sory (i) MONTHS from the mailing above it ties or expected for reply specified above in less than thirt is period for reply in specified above, the maximum is to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office letter than there more in petital team educations. See 37 CFR 1704(b)	mmunication. y (30) days, a reply within the str n statutory period will apply and sply will, by statute, cause the ap hs after the matting date of this o	tutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be o will expere SIX (6) MONTHS from the mellin plication to become ABANDONED (35 U.)	ng dute of this communication. S.C. § 133)			
Status	to present della expansional. Gale St. Co. N. 1.104(c)	•					
1)🖂	Resonnsive to communication(s)	filed on 10 November:	2003.				
2a)[2]	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is r	on-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in conditional closed in accordance with the practice of the conditional conditions are conditional	on for allowance excep ictice under Ex parte Q	t for formal matters, prosecuti uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G	on as to the merits is . 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
41⊠	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-13 is/are pend	fing in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	SIX Claim(s) 1-4, 6-13 is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to res		requirement.				
Applicat	Ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by	the Eveniner					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/a		Abjected to by the Evamin	nor			
IU)L	Applicant may not request that any o						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) include						
440	The path or declaration is objecte						
,	,	u to by the Examiner. I	iote trie attached Onice Action	10110111710-132.			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			_			
13) /	Actorovice(gament is made of at al.	if- ity documents have be ity documents have be ity documents have be so of the priority docum utional Bureau (PCT Ri ction for a list of the cer n for demestic priority ided in the first senten language provisional a m for domestic priority entence of the specific	en received. en received in Application No. ents have been received in the 17.2(a)). tifled copies not received. under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) (to a e of the specification or in an pplication has been received. under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/d.	is National Stage provisional application) Application Data Sheet. r 121 since a specific Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.			
10 Billion and	Tredemark Office						
PTOL-326 (F	Rev. 11-03)	Office Action Summ	ary	Part of Paper No. 01242004			

Application No.

10/070,782

Preeti Kumar

Eveminer

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM

Office Action Summary

THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

Period for Reply

141 Attach 10 🗆 2) [U.S Paten PTOL-32 Applicant(s)

Art Unit

1751

HASHIMOTO ET AL

Application/Control Number: 10/070,782

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Final Rejection

- 1. Claims 1-4 and 6-13 are pending.
- The objection to claims 1-13 is withdrawn in light of applicant's amendment to the claims.
- The rejection of claims 1-13 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite is withdrawn in light of applicant's amendment to the claims.
- The rejection of claims 1-13 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Nakashima et al. (US 5.876.466) is withdrawn upon reconsideration of the prior art.
- The rejection of claim 4 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakashima et al. (US 5,876,466) is withdrawn upon reconsideration of the prior art.
- The rejection of claims 1-3 and 6-13 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakashima et al. (US 5,876,466) is maintained for the reasons recited in the previous office action dated 7/2/2003 and further described below.
- The rejection of claims 1-4,6 and 8 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akasawa (US 5,679,418) is maintained for the reasons recited in the previous office action dated 7/2/2003 and further described below.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 10, 2003 have been fully considered but
they are not persuasive. Applicants urge that Nakashima et al. and the teachings of
Akasawa fall to teach or suggest the claimed limitation wherein the polyurethane

Art Unit: 1751

employs polymer diol which contains from 50wt% to 90wt% polycarbonate diol as recited in the instant claim 1.

Although Nakashima is silent as to the percentage of polycarbonate diol as recited by the instant claims, Nakashima et al. teach examples of the polyurethane to be incorporated in the fiber entangled nonwoven fabric include polyester-polyurethane, polyester-polyurethane, polyester-polyurethane, polyester-polyurethane, polyester-polyurethane, polyester-polyurethane and polycarbonate-polyurethane. The polymers may be obtained by solution polymerization, melt polymerization or bulk polymerization of at least one polymer diol which has an average molecular weight of 700 to 3000 and is selected from the group consisting of polyester diols, polyesterether diols, polytactone diols and polycarbonate diols. See co.5, In.35-45. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to arrive at a suede like sheet comprising poly carbonate diol because Nakashima et al. suggest a suede like sheet comprising poly carbonate diol in general.

Contrary to Applicant's argument that Akasawa fait to teach or suggest the claimed limitation wherein the polyurethane employs polymer diol which contains from 50wt% to 90wt% polycarbonate diol as recited in the instant claim 1, examiner draws attention to the abstract where Akasawa teaches a polyurethane composition suitable for producing leather-like sheets having a soft hand and excellent durability and being dyeable with acid dyes comprising a mixture of: a first polyurethane (a) obtained by reacting: an intermediate product diol (D) with both ends thereof substantially being OH and obtained by reacting a tertiary amino group-containing diol (A), a polymer diol (B)

having a number average molecular weight of 500 to 3,000 selected from the group consisting of polyesters, polycarbonates, polylactones and polyethers and an organic dissocyanate (C1), in such stoichiometric amounts as to make the moler ratio of NCO/OH 0.5 to 0.99, a low molecular weight diot (E), and diphenyimethane-4.4°. dilisocyanate (C2), and a second polyurethane (b) having a solubility in toluene of not more than 50% by weight and obtained by reacting a polymer diot having structural units from dimethylsiloxane and a second organic diisocyanate and having a number average molecular weight of 1,000 to 10,000, the ratio of said second polyurethane (b) to said first polyurethane (a) being in a range of 0.5 to 50% by weight. See abstract and cvl.4 in 18-44.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire and the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1751

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Preeti. Kumar whose telephone number is 571-272-1320. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-872-

> Preeti Kumar Examiner Art Unit 1751

PK

troes and the